UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

An extension of the contract o				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,781	10/26/2003	David Andrew Hooke		2780
Lawrence A. St	7590 10/16/200 teingold	EXAMINER		
Querrey and Harrow, Ltd. 175 W. Jackson Blvd.			DURHAM, NATHAN E	
Suite 1600	I BIVU.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60	604	3765		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/605,781	HOOKE, DAVID ANDREW		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nathan E. Durham	3765		

	Nathan E. Durham	3765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months carned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com-	olionaa with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	s filed within two mon	the of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
MENDMENTS	but make to the date of filing a bail	f will not be entered	haaayaa				
3. 🔀 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) 🔀 They raise new issues that would require further co			because				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		12 201011),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in began appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	•				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		•					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		·	,				
8. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2 and 6-14.</u>							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4 and 5</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	-						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperty and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ils to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. \prod Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13.							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claims 4-8 include new limitations that require further search and consideration. Claim 4 includes the new limitation wherein the plate is mounted below "the speed sensor and sensor arm". Claims 5-8 include new limitations wherein the applicant defines the claims as method claims with the claim language "The method of an electronic stitch length regulator of claim" thus showing method claims improperly dependent from an apparatus claim.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the applicant's argument in reference to the rejection of claims 1, 4 and 5 over KOERNER (U.S. 2005/0016428), the applicant argues that KOERNER fails to disclose various functional properties and structural components (examples: internal encoders affixed to a carriage, foot pedal, frame structure) of the present invention. The features upon which applicant relies in his arguments are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

GARY L. WELCH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Application No. Applicant(s) Notice of Non-Compliant HOOKE, DAVID ANDREW 10/605,781 Examiner **Art Unit** Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) Nathan E. Durham 3765 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --The amendment document filed on <u>05 September 2007</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required. THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other _____. 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other _____. ☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings: ☐ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). ☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other ____. 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4): For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714. TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE: 1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

amendment.

Telephone No.

Part of Paper No. 20071002

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Claim 3 has been marked as "Withdrawn" wherein it should be marked as "Canceled".